UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/557,604	12/26/2006	Kunihiko Kaga	403552/Aoyama & Partners	6072	
23548 1 EVDIG VOI	23548 7590 01/03/2008 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			EXAMINER	
700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960			FLANIGAN, ALLEN J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3744		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERT MODE	
			01/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/557,604	KAGA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Allen J. Flanigan	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2] - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Models, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		1
	s action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and application of the correct and the	cepted or b) objected to edrawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	Λ □ 1-1 *-	Summan (DTO 442)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/557,604 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bakai et al. (the X reference in the PCT search report).

As noted by the PCT search report, claim 1 fails to distinguish over references such as Bakai et al. that show some lanced/cut portions formed in fins disposed in the space between tubes/tube rows. The language of claim 1 fails to exclude any louvers or raised portions outside the region specified in claim 1, but only requires that "at least one cut-raised portion" be disposed within the claimed region adjacent the tube. Thus, fins that have at least one such cut/raised member within the claimed region and the remaining portions without anticipate the above claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/557,604 Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 1-4, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Satou et al.

The alleged novelty of the disclosed invention, the exclusion of lanced portions on plate fins on a region of the fin between the tubes to reduce the pressure reduction caused by frost bridging/clogging, is clearly a known feature as demonstrated by the prior art. Even if the claims were properly drafted to reflect the disclosed invention, it would appear that at least some of the embodiments shown by Satou et al. would fall within the claimed scope (i.e. are contained within the region defined by the formula specified in claim 1). Even if this were not the case, the claims would still have been obvious. Determining the appropriate location of frost-attracting lanced portions (how far they should extend into the region between adjacent tubes, whether they should be limited to the downstream side, etc.) that properly balances the desire for good heat transfer and the avoidance of frost clogging would have been well within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 8, see the Figs. 11-13 embodiment of Satou et al.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Fujinami.

See the comments made above in regard to Satou et al., which are equally applicable to this rejection.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satou et al. in view of Lu.

Lu shows that the type of fin interruptions/turbulence generators claimed in claim 7 are known in the art, and it would have been a simple substitution of known elements with predictable results to use such interruptions in the fins of Satou et al.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ishii teaches techniques for limiting frost bridging/clogging problems similar to Satou et al. and Fujinami. The remaining references show various plate fins for heat exchanger tube coils.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen J. Flanigan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4910. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Allen J! Flanigan
Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 3744

AJF